

To: Moffatt, Brett[Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov]
From: Engelman, Alexa
Sent: Wed 8/13/2014 12:15:29 AM
Subject: RE: Early eighties litigation?

Thanks Brett- have a great trip! I'll look into the Outlook info if it's wrong I should have them change it- it had me as a law clerk until recently so who knows what else is in there!

Take care,

Alexa

From: Moffatt, Brett
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 5:08 PM
To: Engelman, Alexa
Subject: RE: Early eighties litigation?

Sorry Alexa, that number came up when I scrolled over your Outlook contact info.

I am attaching my last draft of the AE document timeline in case it is of any use. I will be back on Monday.

Brett Moffatt

US EPA, Region 9

(415) 972-3946

From: Engelman, Alexa
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 5:02 PM
To: Moffatt, Brett; Reeves, Bruce@DOC
Subject: RE: Early eighties litigation?

Thanks Brett, and Bruce my office number is actually 415-972-3884, and I plan to join the call tomorrow with the groundwater program.

Cheers,

Alexa

From: Moffatt, Brett

Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 4:48 PM

To: Reeves, Bruce@DOC

Cc: Engelman, Alexa; Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David

Subject: RE: Early eighties litigation?

Hi Bruce,

There was challenge to US EPA's regulations by industry and the State of Texas in the DC Court of Appeals regarding a number of UIC issues, including the definition of a USDW. You can find the federal register notice which summaries EPA's changes to the regulations resulting from the settlement at 46 FR 48243. These changes included creation of the streamlined process for States to submit, and EPA approve, aquifer exemptions for USDWs with TDS between 3000 and 10,000 ppm (40 CFR 146.4 - or "146.04" at that time) without requiring a Class II program revision. The revised regs also clarified the criteria and information needed for an aquifer to qualify for a mineral or hydrocarbon exemption. It appears that the April 1982 letter refers to the latter. I have not seen anything in our files which suggests that these regulatory revisions help clarify which California aquifers were subsequently approved or disapproved for exemption.

I am on vacation for the rest of this week. Alexa Engelman of our Office of Regional Counsel has also been working on these issues and is available if you have any questions. Her phone number is 415-972-4674 and her email is in the cc.

Thanks,

Brett

Brett Moffatt

US EPA, Region 9

(415) 972-3946

From: Reeves, Bruce@DOC [<mailto:Bruce.Reeves@conservation.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 2:47 PM
To: Moffatt, Brett
Subject: Early eighties litigation?

Brett, on April 8, 1982, and a couple other places, USEPA personnel make reference to delays in approving primacy being attributable to “the litigation settlement involving the definition of USDW and the effects these changes had on the State aquifer exemption process.” Do you have any idea what litigation settlement that might be? If you do, I’d appreciate seeing a copy.

Bruce Reeves

Chief Counsel

Department of Conservation

801 K Street, 24th Floor

Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-6733

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws, including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.